In Rare Secret Session

Senate Bars Delay In Start of ABM

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

last-ditch attempt yesterday biggest single appropriation to hold off construction of a in the Nation's history. "thin" anti-ballistic missile Sen. Richard B. Russell (Dsystem after clearing the gal- Ga.), chairman of the Appro-

cision would lead to a fruit-in Vietnam. less expenditure of \$40 billion He said the raiding of milirace and promote a false sense security. of security.

45 to 25.

"Preparedness," declar anti-missile shield. ed Sen. John Stennis (D. Cooper and is a nuclear treaty. We have ward."

The Senate turned down a fense Department bill, the

leries for a rare secret ses-priations Subcommittee that sent it to the floor, warned Sen, John Sherman Cooper that even heavier spending (R-Ky.), who pleaded for a was in store because of the slowdown, claimed that the de-relentless demands of the war

to \$70 billion in the years tary stockpiles for the war in ahead. He said it would only Vietnam was beginning to escalate the nuclear arms pose a threat to the national

The ABM controversy cen-He was beaten by a vote of tered on a \$700.3-million appropriation for the Sentinel

Cooper and nine other Miss.), "cannot wait until there Senators from both sides of no choice. We must go for the aisle moved to strike the added, citing statements by \$387.4 million earmarked to former Defense Secretary The controversy commanded start missile production and Robert S. McNamara and top priority as the Senate took deployment. (The rest of the others, is expected to have up a massive \$71.9 billion Demoney is for research and deven 10 intercontinental balmoney is for research and development.)

"Thick or thin," he insisted, See DEFENSE, A23, Col. 4

it "will not after the capacity of the Soviet Union to destroy the United States.'

The Senate moved into its first secret session since July 14, 1966 to take up intelligence reports and classified information, presumably concerning Communist China's missile program and Russia's fledging ABM efforts.

On the surface, the Sentinel ABM system has been billed by the Administration as a "thin" \$5-billion program to guard against the nuclear threat posed by China. But many of its Senate proponents view it as the start of a long-delayed defense against a Soviet missile at-

Sen. Philip A. Hart (D-Mich.) charged that it was like playing poker with a worthless hand. "After all," he said, "even a perfected system—and the Sentinel is far from perfect-could be overcome by simply throwing more offensive missiles against it."

Advocates of delay maintained that the United States should concentrate instead on reaching agreement with the Soviet Union to limit both offensive and defensive nuclear weapons.

Communist China, Cooper listic missiles of its own by the mid-1970s.

Sen. Henry M. Jackson (D-Wash.) called such predictions "chancy" and reminded the Scnate that "our intelligence community clearly underestimated how soon Red China would get its first H-bomb."

Jackson and other advocates of the ABM system contended that Russia would be prompted to negotiate on limiting nuclear weapon only by U. S. strength,

Requested by Cooper, the secret session lasted some 21/2 hours before the Senator opened the galleries again for a final round of talk and the vote. When it was over, Cooper lost a wider margin than the 31 to 28 defeat of a similar amendment he offered last April 1.

Sen. Joseph Clark (D-Pa.) said the Defense budget was full of "shocking waste and fat," but several amendments he offered to trim spending were overwhelmingly rejected

"The military-industrial complex," Clark charged, taken over the Pentagon. It's taken over the Joint Chiefs And it's taken over the Congress."

At the outset of overall debate on the bill, which began Tuesday, Sen. Russell, who is also chairman of the Senate Armed Services Committee, voiced his frustration over the conduct of the war in Viet-

"We cannot continue to support a war, be capable of honoring our commitments abroad, and maintain an adequate defense posture without substantially increasing the size of our Defense budget in the near future," he said. "We have drawn too long from the pantry shelf of military hardware for us not to realize that the day of reckoning must come and it will come very soon."